	FILED HARMENS OFFICE
	DISTRICT COURT
05 STRIGT OF M	THE ASSACHUSETTE TO 2005 MAR 22 P 2: 55
SHERYL SATTERTHWAITE AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF DENNIS SATTERTHWAITE MAGISTRATE	JUDGE NEW Macy
Plaintiff, vs.	No.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC., ARTHUR N. PAPPAS, M.D. AND CATHERINE SULLIVAN, R.N.C.	SUMMONS ISSUED, VES
Defendants.	WAIVER FORM MCF ISSUED
COMP	BY OPTY, CLK. 150M DATE 3 D.9 L.5

1. The plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, resides at 58 Highland Road, Town of Abington, County of Plymouth, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

COMPLAINT

- 2. The plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, was the wife of the late Dennis Satterthwaite and is the duly appointed Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, late of 69 Revere Road, City of Quincy, County of Norfolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 3. The defendant, United States of America, is a governmental entity duly organized under law.
- 4. The defendant, Universal Health Services, Inc., is foreign corporation duly organized under the laws of Delaware having a principal place of business at 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania.
 - The defendant, Universal Health Services, Inc., at all relevant times 5.

conducted business within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and operated the medical facility known as Westwood Lodge Hospital, 45 Clapboardtree Street, Town of Westwood, County of Norfolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

- 6. The defendant, Arthur N. Pappas, M.D., is a licensed, practicing physician having a usual place of business at 45 Clapboardtree Street, Town of Westwood, County of Norfolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 7. The defendant, Catherine Sullivan, R.N.C., was at all material times a nurse licensed to practice in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with a regular place of business at 45 Clapboardtree Street, Town of Westwood, County of Norfolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

The Facts

- 8. In or about May, 2002, the defendant, Arthur N. Pappas, M.D., undertook to care for and treat the plaintiff's decedent, Dennis Satterthwaite, while he was a patient at the Westwood Lodge Hospital located at 45 Clapboardtree Street, Westwood, Massachusetts and at various other times; in so doing, the defendant owed to the plaintiff's decedent the duty of using due care and skill. In violation of the duty of care and skill owed to the plaintiff's decedent, Dennis Satterthwaite, the defendant, Arthur N. Pappas, M.D., so carelessly, negligently, unskillfully, and/or in a grossly negligent manner cared for and treated the said Dennis Satterthwaite that he was caused to die on May 30, 2002.
- 9. In or about May, 2002, the defendant, Catherine Sullivan, R.N.C., undertook to care for and treat the plaintiff's decedent, Dennis Satterthwaite, while he was a patient at the Westwood Lodge Hospital located at 45 Clapboardtree Street,

Westwood, Massachusetts and at various other times; in so doing, the defendant owed to the plaintiff's decedent the duty of using due care and skill. In violation of the duty of care and skill owed to the plaintiff's decedent, Dennis Satterthwaite, the defendant, Catherine Sullivan, R.N.C., so carelessly, negligently, unskillfully, and/or in a grossly negligent manner cared for and treated the said Dennis Satterthwaite that he was caused to die on May 30, 2002.

- 10. In or about May, 2002, agents, servants and/or employees of the defendant, Universal Health Services, Inc., undertook to care for and treat the plaintiff's decedent, Dennis Satterthwaite, while he was a patient at the Westwood Lodge Hospital located at 45 Clapboardtree Street, Westwood, Massachusetts and at various other times; in so doing, the defendant's agents, servants and/or employees owed to the plaintiff's decedent the duty of using due care and skill. In violation of the duty of care and skill owed to the plaintiff's decedent, Dennis Satterthwaite, agents, servants and/or employees of the defendant, Universal Health Services, Inc., so carelessly, negligently, unskillfully, and/or in a grossly negligent manner cared for and treated the said Dennis Satterthwaite that he was caused to die on May 30, 2002.
- On or about May 29, 2002 and at various other dates, agents, servants 11. and/or employees of the defendant, United States of America, undertook to care for and treat the plaintiff's decedent, Dennis Satterthwaite, while he was a patient at the Veterans Affairs Boston Outpatient Clinic, 251 Causeway Street, Boston, Massachusetts; in so doing, the defendant's agents, servants and/or employees owed to the plaintiff's decedent the duty of using due care and skill. In violation of the duty of care and skill owed to the plaintiff's decedent, Dennis Satterthwaite, agents, servants

and/or employees of the defendant, United States of America, so carelessly, negligently, unskillfully, and/or in a grossly negligent manner cared for and treated the said Dennis Satterthwaite that he was caused to die on May 30, 2002.

- 12. Prior to his death and while he was in a conscious condition, the said

 Dennis Satterthwaite suffered severe pain of body and anguish of mind and expenses
 - 13. Dennis Satterthwaite left surviving him next of kin.
- 14. On April 27, 2004, the plaintiff made a written demand for relief in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2401(b) and 38 C.F.R. §14.604(b) to the defendant, United States of America.
- 15. On December 16, 2004, the defendant, United States of America, denied plaintiff's claim in writing and failed to reach final arbitration, settlement, compromise and/or disposition of plaintiff's claims as required by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2401(b) and 2675(a) and 38 C.F.R. § 14.604(b).

Causes of Action

(Each Cause of Action Specifically Incorporates by Reference All of Those Paragraphs Previously Set Forth)

First Cause of Action

16. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, Arthur N. Pappas, M.D., for negligence for damages suffered by Dennis Satterthwaite prior to his death, including, but not limited to, conscious pain and suffering, loss of earning capacity, and medical expenses.

Second Cause of Action

17. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the

Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, Arthur N. Pappas, M.D., for negligence resulting in the death of Dennis Satterthwaite for the use and benefit of the next of kin of said decedent, in accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts

General Laws, Chapter 229, as amended, and applicable at the times material herein.

Third Cause of Action

18. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, Arthur N. Pappas, M.D., for punitive damages in causing the death of Dennis Satterthwaite by gross negligence in accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 229, as amended, and applicable at the times material herein.

Fourth Cause of Action

19. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, Catherine Sullivan, R.N.C., for negligence for damages suffered by Dennis Satterthwaite prior to his death, including, but not limited to, conscious pain and suffering, loss of earning capacity, and medical expenses.

Fifth Cause of Action

20. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, Catherine Sullivan, R.N.C, for negligence resulting in the death of Dennis Satterthwaite for the use and benefit of the next of kin of said decedent, in accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 229, as amended, and applicable at the times material herein.

Sixth Cause of Action

21. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, Catherine Sullivan, R.N.C, for punitive damages in causing the death of Dennis Satterthwaite by gross negligence in accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 229, as amended, and applicable at the times material herein.

Seventh Cause of Action

22. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, Universal Health Services, Inc., for negligence for damages suffered by Dennis Satterthwaite prior to his death, including, but not limited to, conscious pain and suffering, loss of earning capacity, and medical expenses.

Eighth Cause of Action

- 23. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, Universal Health Services, Inc., for negligence resulting in the death of Dennis Satterthwaite for the use and benefit of the next of kin of said decedent, in accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 229, as amended, and applicable at the times material herein. Ninth Cause of Action
- 24. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, Universal Health Services, Inc., for punitive damages in causing the death of Dennis Satterthwaite by gross negligence in accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 229, as

amended, and applicable at the times material herein.

Tenth Cause of Action

25. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, United States of America, for negligence for damages suffered by Dennis Satterthwaite prior to his death, including, but not limited to, conscious pain and suffering, loss of earning capacity, and medical expenses, in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b).

Eleventh Cause of Action

26. This is an action by the plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, against the defendant, United States of America, for negligence resulting in the death of Dennis Satterthwaite for the use and benefit of the next of kin of said decedent, in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b).

Demands for Relief

- 27. The plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, demands judgment against the defendant, Arthur N. Pappas, M.D., together with interest and costs, as to the First and Second Causes of Action.
- 28. The plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, demands judgment against the defendant, Arthur N. Pappas, M.D., for punitive damages in an amount not less than Five Thousand (\$5,000) Dollars as to the Third Cause of Action.
- 29. The plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, demands judgment against the defendant, Catherine Sullivan, R.N.C., together with interest and costs, as to the Fourth and Fifth Causes of Action.

- 30. The plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, demands judgment against the defendant, Catherine Sullivan, R.N.C., for punitive damages in an amount not less than Five Thousand (\$5,000) Dollars as to the Sixth Cause of Action.
- 31. The plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, demands judgment against the defendant, Universal Health Services, Inc., together with interest and costs, as to the Seventh and Eighth Causes of Action.
- 32. The plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, demands judgment against the defendant, Universal Health Services, Inc., for punitive damages in an amount not less than Five Thousand (\$5,000) Dollars as to the Ninth Cause of Action.
- 33. The plaintiff, Sheryl Satterthwaite, as Executrix of the Estate of Dennis Satterthwaite, demands judgment against the defendant, United States of America, together with interest and costs, as to the Tenth and Eleventh Causes of Action.

Jury Claim

The plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

By their Attorneys,

SUGARMAN AND SUGARMAN, P.C.

Jodi M. Petrucelli – BBO# 561911

David P. McCormack – BBO# 659006

One Beacon Street Boston, MA 02108 (617) 542-1000

jpetrucelli@sugarman.com dmccormack@sugarman.com JS 44 (Rev 07/89)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers at tedfileDv raw, except as provided ov rocal rules of court. This form approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the outpose of initiating the civil docker meet. ISEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDAN		STATE OF THE			
Sheryl Satterthw Estate of Dennis	aite as Executrix of the Satterthwaite	Services	s, Inc.,	F America, Universal Arthur N. Pappas, M			
	E OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Plymouth PT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	TALK OF TANG					
Jodi M. Petrucel David P. McCorma Sugarman and Sug One Beacon Stree Boston, MA 02108	ck arman, P.C. t (617) 542-1000	1 OPENEYS (IF					
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (PLACE AN a INTEREST ONLY)	(For Diversity Cases C		CIPAL PARTIES (PLACE FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX	AN & IN CHE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		PTF DEF		PTF DEF		
X 2 U.S. Government	_ 4 Diversity	Citizen of This State	ಫ t ⊒1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State	□4 □4		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	□2 □2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	□ 5 = 1 5		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□3 □3	Foreign Nation	⊒6 □6		

IV. CAUSE OF ACTION ICITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE

co nor cire jurispictional statutes unless diversity) 28 U.S.C. \$1346(b). The defendants agents, servants and/or

V. NATURE OF SU	IT (PLACE AN × IN ON	IE BOX ONLY)	•			
CONTRACT	TO	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTÇY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 313 Airprane Product ☐ Lability		FERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury — Med Majoractice 365 Personal Injury —	610 Agriculture 520 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawa) 28 USC 157	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of	☐ 320 Assault, Liber & Slander	Product Cability 368 Asbestos Personal	☐ 640 R R & Trucx	PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce /ICC Rates/etc 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 8810 Selective Service 8850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Chailenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
Judgment 151 Medicare Acr 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Unionly PERSONAL PROPERTY	☐ 650 Airline Regs ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Heaith ☐ 690 Other	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark		
(Excl. Veterans)	Lability	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY		
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders Suts 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	350 Motor Venicle 355 Motor Venicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury	□ 371 into in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.	☐ 861 HIA (1395f) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DHWC/DIWW (405ig)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Reporting &	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	893 Environmental Matters	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Tors to Land 245 Tort Product Labitly 290 All Other Real Property	□ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Weffare □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights		Osclosure Act 740 Rainway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Lingation T91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintell or Detendant) 671 IRS—Third Party 25 USC 7609	394 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions	
VI. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN × IN	ONE BOX ONLY) Transf	erred from	Appeal to District 7 Judge from	
▼ 1 Original ☐ Proceeding	2 Removed from State Court	Remanded from 3 4 Appellate Court	Reinstated or 🖂 5 anothe Reopened (speci	er district 💢 🛢 6 Multidistric		
VII. REQUESTED I COMPLAINT:	N CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER FR.C.P. 23	A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$ \$4,000,000.00		demanded in complaint: ND: X2 YES □ NO	
VIII. RELATED CA IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions		GE	DOCKET NUMBER		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

of

2. Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)). 1. 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT. 11. 195, 196, 368, 409, 440, 441-446, 540, 550, 555, 525, 710, 720, 730, 730, 730, 730, 731, 620, 830, 830, 830, 830, 835, 930, 835, 930, 835, 930, 835, 930, 835, 930, 835, 930, 835, 930, 335, 333, 330, 340, 345, 345, 350, 355, 360, 382, 365, 370, 371, 380, 395, 450, 891. 11. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 199, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 395, 450, 891. 11. 220, 422, 423, 430, 480, 480, 490, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 690, 691, 861-865, 870, 871, 675, 990. 12. 150, 152, 153. 3. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40, 1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filled in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filled case in this court. Not applicable 4. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? YES NO X 5. Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC §2403) If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO X YES NO X O all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO NO NO SEE Seatern Division Western Division Western Division Western Division Western Division Western Division Final Property Name Activities are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO CategoryForm.myd - 2/15009 PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TITORNEY'S NAME Activities are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the m	1	. Title of Denni	case (na s Sat	ame of first party on e terthwaite v.	each side only United) <u>Sheryl Satte</u> States of Ame	rthwai: rica, e	te, <u>as Ex</u> et al	ecutrix o	f the Est.
II. 195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 441-446, 540, 550, 555, 622, 710, 720, 730, 740, 790, 791, 220, 230, 530, 530, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 770, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 410, 610, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. V. 150, 152, 153. 3. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filled in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filled case in this court. Not applicable 4. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filled in this court? YES NO X 5. Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC §2493) If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO X 7. Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO S A If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, submit a separate sheet identifying the ractions) YES NO NO S PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEYS NAME 104, 124, 124, 124, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125	2	Catego	Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).							
X III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 140, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 3180, 385, 450, 891.			I.	160, 410, 470, R.2	3, REGARDLE	ESS OF NATURE OF S	UIT.			
III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. IV. 220, 422, 433, 430, 460, 480, 690, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 610, 681-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. V. 150, 152, 153. 3. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. Not applicable			II.	195, 196, 368, 400 740, 790, 791, 820	, 440, 441-446 *, 830*, 840*, 8	i, 540, 550, 555, 625, 71 350, 890, 892-894, 895,	10, 720, 730 950.), *Also comp for patent,	lete AO 120 or trademark or c	AO 121
V. 150, 152, 153. 3. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. Not applicable 4. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? YES NO X 5. Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC \$2403) If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO X YES NO X On X YES NO X YES NO X On X This case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC \$2284? YES NO X On all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division Western Division Western Division If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) THORNEY'S NAME Jodi M. Petrucellii, Esq. BBO # 561911 - Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street		X	III,	315, 320, 330, 340	, 345, 350, 355	0, 230, 240, 245, 290, 3 5, 360, 362, 365, 370, 3	10, 71,			
Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filled in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. Not applicable 4. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? YES NO X 5. Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC \$2403) YES NO X If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO X YES NO X Outlies the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies. Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division Western Division He filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Lodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911 - Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street			IV.	220, 422, 423, 430 690, 810, 861-865,	, 460, 480, 490 870, 871, 875), 610, 620, 630, 640, 69 , 900.	50, 660,			
Not applicable 4. Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? YES NO X 5. Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC \$2403) If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO X YES NO X 7. Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO N A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Lodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911— Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS ONE Beacon Street ELEPHONE NO. 617—542—1000.			V.	150, 152, 153.						
YES NO X State Complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC §2403) If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO X YES NO X YES NO X YES NO X On an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO X On all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies. residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Jod's M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911 - Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street	3.			and the diff if	ses. (See loc umber of the	al rule 40.1(g)). If mor first filed case in this o	e than one court.	prior related c	ase has been t	filed in this
YES NO X State Complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC §2403) If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO X YES NO X YES NO X YES NO X On an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO X On all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies. residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Jod's M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911 - Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street	4.	Has a pr	rior actio	n between the same	parties and ba	ased on the same clair	n ever bee	n filed in this c	ourt?	
If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO NO Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284? YES NO									£	
YES NO Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284? YES NO O all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Lodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911 Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street ELEPHONE NO. 617-542-1000	5.	Does the §2403)	e compla	int in this case quest	ion the const	itutionality of an act of	f congress	affecting the p	ublic interest?	See 28 USC
YES NO X 7. Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Jodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911— Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street ELEPHONE NO. 617–542–1000		If so, is	the U.S.A	. or an officer, agent	or employee	of the U.S. a party?	YES	NO	X	
YES NO X 7. Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Jodí M. Petrucellí, Esq. BBO # 561911 - Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street ELEPHONE NO. 617-542-1000							1	n/l		
YES NO X 7. Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Jodí M. Petrucellí, Esq. BBO # 561911 - Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street ELEPHONE NO. 617-542-1000	6.	ls this ca	ase requi	red to be heard and o	determined by	a district court of thre	ee judges p	oursuant to title	28 USC §228	4?
Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)). YES X NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Lodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911 Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street ELEPHONE NO. 617-542-1000									F=========	
A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Jodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911 - Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street ELEPHONE NO. 617-542-1000	7.	Do <u>all</u> of Massach	the parti nusetts ('	es in this action, exc governmental agenc	duding governies"), residing	nmental agencies of th g in Massachusetts re	e united s side in the	tates and the C same division	\$	of Rule 40.1(d)).
A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Jodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911 - Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street ELEPHONE NO. 617-542-1000									, and the second	
Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Jodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911 Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street ELEPHONE NO. 617-542-1000			A.	lf yes, in which divi	sion do all of	the non-governmenta			1d	
B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME Jodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911 - Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C. DDRESS One Beacon Street ELEPHONE NO617-542-1000										2000 Date.
Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME			В.	If no, in which divis	ion do the ma	niority of the plaintiffs	***************************************			intal agencies.
If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME				residing in Massaci	iusetts reside	¥?				,
PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME							17.11 17.74		tern Division	
PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME <u>Jodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911- Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C.</u> DDRESS <u>One Beacon Street</u> ELEPHONE NO. <u>617-542-1000</u>	В.	If filing a submit a	Notice o separate	f Removal - are there sheet identifying the	any motions motions)	pending in the state co	ourt requir	ing the attentio	n of this Court	t? (If yes,
PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT) TTORNEY'S NAME <u>Jodi M. Petrucelli, Esq. BBO # 561911- Sugarman and Sugarman, P.C.</u> DDRESS <u>One Beacon Street</u> ELEPHONE NO. <u>617-542-1000</u>							YES	NO		
TTORNEY'S NAME	PLI	EASE TYP	PE OR PE	RINT)				•	4. c	
ELEPHONE NO617-542-1000				•	rucelli.	Esa. BBO # 50	61911_	S110.000000	and C	- -
	DD	RESS 0	ne Be	acon Street			4 T / T	ongarman	and Suga	rman,_P.C.
	EL	EPHONE	NO. <u>6</u>	17-542-1000				 		
									(CategoryForm	wnd - 2/15/05)